

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Art Unit: 2621

Examiner: Torrente, Richard T.

In re Application:
Talmon et al.

Serial No.: 10/501,949

Filing Date: July 21, 2004

METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR
EFFECTIVELY PERFORMING EVENT
DETECTION IN A LARGE NUMBER OF
CONCURRENT IMAGE SEQUENCES

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15235
July 17, 2009

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Applicants are in receipt of the Interview Summary, dated 17 June 2009 and prepared by the Examiner, and generally concur with the Examiner's comments.

The interview was conducted on 8 June 2009, in person, and the participants were Richard Torrente, Richard James, Zvika Ashani, and Young Lee.

In the interview, Applicant Zvika Ashani presented the novelty of the application versus the Meyer and Lipton references using a Power Point presentation. Applicants proposed an amendment to claim 1 specifically, and expressed general applicability of such an amendment to the remaining claims, directed to that novelty, the transmission of non-image data, and received agreement that such an amendment should overcome the rejections of the last office action. Applicants have since filed an RCE, dated 1 July

2009, which includes claim amendments in accordance with the language the Examiner agreed should be patentable in the interview.

Respectfully Submitted

/Richard W. James/
Richard W. James
Registration No. 43,690
Attorney for Applicants

Spilman Thomas & Battle
One Oxford Centre, Suite 3440
301 Grant Street
Pittsburgh, PA 15219
Phone: (412) 325-3316
Facsimile: (412) 325-3324
rjames@spilmanlaw.com